

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/479,648	01/07/2000	RONALD S. STEELMAN	54655USA1B/009	3344
7	7590 12/17/2002			
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY P O BOX 33427			EXAMINER	
			GALLAGHER, JOHN J	
ST PAUL, MN	ST PAUL, MN 551333427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	15
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DZ11

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/479,648

Applicant(s)

STEELMAN et al

Examiner

John GALLAGHER

Art Unit 1733

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Theref rejection allows	EPLY FILED <u>Dec 5, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ince; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) (The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
exto app	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the illing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) [they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
ı	NOTE:
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. 🕱	
	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained).
6. 🗆	application in condition for allowance because:
6. □ 7. ☒	application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
	application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an
	application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29-31, 34-40, and 64
	application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29-31, 34-40, and 64 Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 20-28, 41, 42, 45-63, 65, and 66 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None
	application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29-31, 34-40, and 64 Claim(s) rejected: 20-28, 41, 42, 45-63, 65, and 66
7. 🛭	application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are seen to be both proper and tenable (and therefore are maintained). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29-31, 34-40, and 64 Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 20-28, 41, 42, 45-63, 65, and 66 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None